UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,409	09/19/2006	Tetsuya Ogata	R2184.0532/P532	8795
24998 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 07/08/200 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1825 EYE STR	EET NW		HUBER, PAUL W	
Washington, DC 20006-5403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/593,409	OGATA, TETSUYA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Paul Huber	2627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 J</u>	lune 2008						
· <u> </u>	•						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
· _							
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
_							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) 1-00 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate					

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are at least as follows:

Species I: Figure 3A; Species II: Figure 3B; Species III: Figure 12A; Species IV: Figure 12B; Species V: Figure 13A; Species VI: Figure 13B; Species VII: Figure 15A; Species VIII: Figure 15B; Species IX: Figure 16A; Species X: Figure 16B; Species XI: Figure 17A; Species XII: Figure 17B; Species XIII: Figure 18A; Species XIV: Figure 18B; Species XV: Figure 19; Species XVI: Figure 29; Species XVII: Figure 33; Species XVIII: Figure 36; Species XIX: Figure 37; Species XX: Figure 38; Species XXI: Figure 39; Species XXII: Figure 40; Species XXIII: Figure 41; Species XXIV: Figure 42A; Species XXV: Figure 42B; Species XXVI: Figure 43; Species XXVII: Figure 44; Species XXVIII: Figure 46A; Species XXIX: Figure 47A; Species XXX: Figure 48;

Art Unit: 2627

Species XXXI: Figure 51A & 51B; Species XXXII: Figure 53A; and

Species XXXIII: Figure 53B.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to <u>elect a single species</u> to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also <u>identify the claims readable on the elected species</u>, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a). Currently, no claim is considered generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species each include elements which are disclosed as being used mutually exclusive of each other and hence patentable in their own right.

A telephone call was not made to the applicant's representative to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the need for the examiner to promptly act on the application.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Huber whose telephone number is 571-272-7588.

/Paul Huber/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2627 Application/Control Number: 10/593,409

Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

June 24, 2009